

SPIRITUAL TELEGRAPH

DEVOTED TO THE PHYSICAL AND SPIRITUAL NEEDS OF MANKIND

"THE AGITATION OF THOUGHT IS THE BEGINNING OF WISDOM."

CHARLES PARTRIDGE, PUBLISHER, 125 MAIDEN LANE.—TERMS, TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM, IN ADVANCE; SINGLE COPIES, FIVE CENTS.

VOL. VII.—NO. 47. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 19, 1859. WHOLE NO. 359.

THE SPIRITUAL TELEGRAPH.

PRICE

One Year, strictly in advance (if registered at the risk of publisher),	\$2 00
Six Months,	1 00
Three Months,	50
One Month,	15
Five Copies, if delivered,	2 50
Single Copies,	5
It carries in Canada, (with postage prepaid),	2 50
" Cuba,	3 00
" Mexico,	3 00
" South America,	3 00
" Europe,	3 00

Advertising, 12 1/2 cents per line.

The best remittance from foreign countries is American bills, if they can be obtained, the second is gold, included in letters. Our friends abroad can have this paper forwarded to them by giving full address and prompt remittance, and we will send them their patronage. Small sums may be remitted in postage stamps. For notices of the Press, see advertising columns.

CONTENTS OF THIS NUMBER.

Reply to Mr. Sunderland.....	401
Mr. Longfellow at Dedworth's.....	407
Mrs. Hatch's Lectures.....	407
New York Conference.....	407
Manifestations and their Mode.....	407
Philosophical Propositions.....	408
A Poor Man's Song (poetry).....	408
Interesting News Items.....	409
A True Brother (poetry).....	409
Books and Slides.....	409
Preaching like "Old Hundred".....	409
The Happy Home.....	410
Percolating Knead by Mistake.....	410
Decay of Unitarianism in England.....	410

REPLY TO MR. SUNDERLAND.

PAINEVILLE, O., February 28, 1859.

FRIEND PARTRIDGE:—Your paper of the 15th of January had to reach me. That number probably contains Mr. Sunderland's thirteenth and fourteenth problems, as the next following those I have commented on is No. 15, to which I will proceed to reply. I shall not, however, attempt to follow in detail, but merely notice some of his assumptions, which will show to be untrue and unjust. In accounting for the contradictions, falsehoods and failures of the so-called Spirits, he objects to the reason given by some, "that like attracts like" and is therefore, because the questioner "loves falsehood," are to "attract lying Spirits." He says: "All mortals follow up their questions to the Spirits through different media get more or less falsehoods." Here Mr. Sunderland tries to know what "all mortals" experience. This is an assumption wholly unwarranted and untrue, in fact. Such an assumption is unpardonable in any man, and more especially in one who has claimed to be a preacher of the Gospel. His subsequent remarks in the TELEGRAPH of the 29th January, upon the same problem, are too fallacious and ridiculous to be noticed. In your paper of the 12th of February, he pursues the same line, and quotes from the writings of A. J. Davis, and seriously asks that *seer*: "How do you know that Spirits deceive?" and adds, "you (Mr. Davis) were never in the Spirit-world, I opine, and you know nothing more as to whether or can not come from Spirits than your humble servant." Now, Mr. Sunderland, how do you know this? You are prepared to show to the world that you know as much of Spirits as Mr. Davis? If you do, you have been very fortunate in not being able to show to the world some little information to his standard, in the estimation of all true mortals. Mr. S.'s arrogant comparison of himself with

A. J. Davis reminds me of the old lady who said "she verily believed that her minister was the best man that ever lived on earth," when a bystander asked her if she thought he was equal to Jesus Christ, who once lived on earth. "Why, no," said she, "I don't know as I would say that he is equal to Jesus Christ, but I do think he comes as near as anti-Christ." We opine that La Roy Sunderland would be considered about as near Mr. Davis' equal.

As to our "guardian Spirit" being "driven away by a more powerful evil Spirit," as Mr. S. represents that he was informed by Dr. Hare, I would just remark that the mere opinion of Dr. Hare or any one else on this point is of very little consequence, and furnishes no proof of the fact. Mr. S. says "we know nothing of the personal identity of a Spirit that communicates, and can not know whether it be male or female." Well, be it so; does it follow that our friends from the Spirit-world do not furnish such evidence of their identity and sex as is satisfactory to a reasonable mind? If a Spirit should influence a medium to write in a foreign language which is wholly unknown to the medium and every other person present, and it should afterward be read by one who understands that language, and it is found to be a communication from one who was not supposed to be in the Spirit-world, but it should be afterward found to be a fact that the Spirit communicating was actually drowned at the very time and place represented in the unexpected communication, would there be any reason to doubt the truthfulness of the communication, or the identity and sex of the Spirit? Such cases have occurred, and have been well authenticated; and yet it might, perhaps, be truly said, we can not positively know its truth. I do not positively know that such a person as Gen. Washington ever lived or died; but would it be reasonable for me to doubt it? I think not; but it would be more unreasonable for me to doubt the evidence of my senses in regard to the identity of my Spirit-friends who have communicated with me. In determining as to the truthfulness of any revelation, whether ancient or modern, we are bound to exercise our reason, and candidly weigh the evidence in the case.

Again: Mr. Sunderland says it is urged that "skepticism repels the Spirits," and "that we are told that any shade of doubt beforehand, any disposition to test the Spirits, will reject them, and make it impossible to get truthful communications." Now suppose Mr. Sunderland has been told so; is he bound to believe all that he is "told," without investigating the truth of what he is told? If any person should tell me so, I should say that my experience proves such a statement to be untrue. I myself received tests, while a skeptic, which satisfied my mind, and removed my skepticism.

In the TELEGRAPH of February 19, Mr. Sunderland begins by saying, "that must be admitted as a sound maxim which requires us to account for all the phenomena we witness, by laws which appertain to this world, when such laws are present with us, and well known." And when these laws are found to

be in-efficient for the purpose, he admits that what mediums do or say may be allowed to be "said or done by the inhabitants of another world." Well, Mr. S., in this you virtually yield all that Spiritualists claim. The fact that the manifestations through mediums can not be accounted for by any known laws, except such laws as are explained to us through mediums, forces conviction upon every well-balanced mind, that such manifestations are from "the inhabitants of another world;" and the very fact that Mr. S. is so puzzled to understand them, should lead him at once to adopt the rule he has here laid down, and cease his ridiculous tirade against Spiritualists and mediums.

Mr. Sunderland quotes what he says: Miss Emma Hardinge is "reported to have said" in a lecture delivered in Cincinnati, December 8, 1858, that "except in a circle no medium has ever yet been known to transcend her normal capacity." Assuming this to be a correct report of what Miss Hardinge said, beyond all doubt, Mr. S. proceeds to say, "when it is admitted that nothing is ever uttered through any medium which transcends his or her normal capacity, etc., it is what I call somewhat of a common-sense view of the subject." Now it is by no means certain that Miss Hardinge ever uttered such a sentiment; and if she did, Mr. S. has no right, upon his hypothesis that there is no reliability to be placed on what mediums say, to found any argument upon it; but it is very doubtful whether she did say it; and if she did, my experience teaches me that the position taken is incorrect. What will Mr. S. say of a child, not three years old, who had never written a word in her life, and did not even know one letter from another, being made to write intelligibly by some unseen power, and inform her parents by that writing, of events which had not yet transpired, but actually did afterward? Such a case has occurred, and that, too, when there was no circle formed, which can be well established by such testimony as would be received in any court of justice. Is not this a full refutation of the statement said to have been made by Miss Hardinge, upon which Mr. S. founds his argument? But I will refer to another case, which I mentioned in my last number, that of my daughter, who, without being in a circle, was enabled by some unseen intelligence to decipher short-hand writing, of which she knew nothing, and write Hebrew correctly, when she had never seen a Hebrew letter or character and no one in the room was present who could read a word of it. I will add one more instance for Mr. S.'s consideration, which I think will be sufficient to satisfy any reasonable mind that the position taken by Mr. Sunderland is untenable. It is that of mediums writing and speaking (when not in a circle) in various languages, of which they have no knowledge. This has been witnessed by thousands, and, I might say, hundreds of thousands.

Mr. S. has a great deal to say about what "may be;" but seems to forget, or not understand, that La Roy Sunderland "may be" mistaken in many things which he asserts. He seems to imagine that his mind has grasped the whole of what

there is in the spiritual phenomena, and ignores all that has been experienced by all the rest of the world. Hence, instead of saying he has not been able to discover this or that thing, he says: "It must be admitted by all—it can not be shown—no one will pretend," and such like broad assertions. Such arrogance falls very far short of argument, and is not a mark of humility; nor will it assist any one in a search after spiritual truth.

Mr. S. says he needs no medium between him and his best friend. No; and if he did, he could have no communication with that friend, on which he could rely, while he remains in his present condition; he is too skeptical. Spirits in the Spirit-world are yet rational beings, and they will not be trifled with any more than they would be in the form; and until Mr. Sunderland reforms, and "does work meet for repentance," he will remain in ignorance of that enjoyment which flows from a conscience "void of offense toward God and man."

In the TELEGRAPH of the 26th February, Mr. Sunderland has undertaken to show that A. J. Davis is unworthy of confidence as a seer. Mr. Davis is too well known, and his character as a seer is too well established, to require any reply to anything that La. Roy Sunderland or any one else can say against him. All that Mr. S. can say or do to discredit Mr. Davis will be like his undertaking to batter down the walls of Gibraltar with a pop-gun. Yours truly, H. SKEFF.

PHILOSOPHY OF EVIL.

PHILADELPHIA, February 18, 1859.

MR. PARTIDGE:—I have noticed in some recent papers a discussion on the subject of "Evil." As my views on that subject differ in some respects from those of most other men, I will, with your permission, state them.

I think we are too much disposed to form our opinion without due reflection and on but superficial observation, although it is as necessary to have a good foundation for an opinion as for a house. In investigating this subject, it would be well to begin with fundamental principles, or the great cause of all things, and ascertain as nearly as possible what the character or attributes of God are. And I think we shall all agree in this, that he is perfectly good, wise and powerful. Now if we know this to be true, our conclusions predicated on such a knowledge are more likely to be true also, and we have a foundation on which we can safely build a theory, or base an argument; for

"What can we reason but from what we know?"

And here is a criterion by which we may test the truth of our opinions. Does any one believe there is anything whatever in the whole universe that the whole universe would be better off without? If so, let him apply the touchstone; let him test that belief by the above criterion, and he will say it is impossible. It can not be, if God is infinitely good, wise and powerful. We all believe, also, that God is everywhere—filling all space—permeating all matter—all substance. Now if there is evil in the world, is not God in it, and it in him? And if there is a devil, is not God in him as much as in any bright angel or other Spirit, or even in Christ himself? If there is anything in the universe which seems to us wrong or evil, you may rely upon it that it is unavoidable, or absolutely necessary (I believe it is both), to produce the greatest good; for we can not admit for a moment that God would create or permit anything in his good work to mar it in the slightest degree. "But," says the reader, "facts—facts are worth more than all your theories; don't we see evil all around us every day?" True, facts are better evidence than theories; but be sure you have got the facts before you attempt to show them. Now suppose you have a family of children which are very dear to you, and you find it necessary to tell one she must have that ugly tooth drawn, or another that he must take a dose of very unseasonable medicine, or have a wound probed or cauterized—that it is necessary, and it is only from your love for them that you require these things of them—that you had much rather give them cake or candy if they would do as well—do you suppose these children would believe you? might they not cry out, "I don't believe a word of it, I don't believe a word of it, I don't believe a word of it, I don't believe a word of it." And will they not consider the remedy a greater evil than the disease? I bet when the child has grown wiser, will it not see the necessity of such treatment, and thank you for it? It is with us as it is with these inexperienced

children; we look on the ills of life here as greater evils than those otherwise eternal diseases they are intended to cure.

Now I don't know anything better calculated to develop or bring into action the better qualities of man's nature than what we call evil. All trouble, sorrow and pain, are necessary to our present condition; but as we grow wiser, we shall, in the same ratio, become better; and in the same ratio that we become better will evil leave us until it entirely disappears, being no longer needed. In our progress we shall be continually throwing off particles of animal matter, and replacing them with spiritual, until no more of the animal qualities remain, and, becoming entirely spiritual, we shall no longer have use for this earth, and shall consequently leave it, and the earth will then be decomposed, and will return to its original elements; but this final result will no doubt require a long, very long time.

As regards pain, which is thought to be a part of the evil in this world, I consider it to be indispensable to our very existence. For instance, suppose we have an internal complaint, say inflammation of the bowels; if we had no pain, we could not know of the disease, and death would be the consequence.

Many men, and very good men too, often wonder why it has pleased God to create us subject to so much evil. I think I can account for it to such person's satisfaction. The evil (so called) among us is owing to the low grade in which we have been created, and I believe that we could not have been created in any higher grade of being, and answer the purpose of our creation. For instance, suppose man had been created an angel; could he have propagated his species? should we have seen any of those little angels that we now see around our homes? Certainly not; and that one angel would have remained alone on the earth. God says to Adam, "Be fruitful, and multiply and replenish the earth." Therefore it was necessary that we should be created so near the grade of the animal as to enable us to increase and multiply our species. I think the truth of this theory will be seen by studying the lower orders of creation, when we shall find that the lower the grade of being, the more prolific they are, some of the lowest producing millions of eggs in a year. If it is not generally known now, I think it soon will be, that as mankind become more spiritual, their issue will decrease in the same proportion. In conclusion, let me add that we should always bear in mind that there are many things in this world really necessary and good for us, that are not agreeable at the present moment. G. R.

STRANGE AFFAIR IN ENGLAND.

(It is to be observed that the following account was written evidently by an opponent of the pretensions of the manifestations described, and therefore due allowances must be made for exaggeration and unfavorable coloring of the facts stated.—Ed. Tel.)

An occurrence, says the Birmingham Daily Post of the 22d ult., of a nature seldom witnessed in this country, took place on Sunday evening last, in the Primitive Methodist Chapel, New George street, Dudley, while the congregation were engaged in public worship. Many of the inhabitants of the neighborhood are members of what is known as the "Spirit-rapping Society," and, as such, entertain extraordinary religious notions. During the last fortnight, the people have felt themselves peculiarly impressed with the importance of adopting immediate and active measures for the conversion of the friends of religion in the locality in favor of Spirit-power. Accordingly, they held a meeting on Sunday afternoon last, for "prayer and inspiration," and agreed, at the close of it, that they were moved by the Spirit to adjourn to the chapel of the Primitive Methodists, which is close by, for the purpose of convincing the people of the error of their ways. These individuals declared that they were told by the Spirit-power that the sainted John Wesley had commissioned them at once to preach the doctrine of John the Baptist, promising, if they did so, they would be "assisted;" but if they did not, they would be deprived of the power of speech.

On the evening referred to, the members of the congregation of the George street Chapel met as usual, and engaged in divine worship, the service being conducted by Mr. Robinson, of Oldbury. The preacher was in the middle of his discourse, when a Mrs. Stocks, who was sitting in the gallery, and who, it afterward appeared, was the leader of the Spirit-rappers, suddenly threw herself into what ordinary people would have guessed to have been a fit of hysterics, but which others said was the development of the power of the Divine Spirit. While under some kind of severe impulse, Mrs. Stocks commenced slapping her legs with her right hand with a vigor that was perfectly amazing, and exhibited great agitation, exclaiming, "Lord, I think thee that thou hast promised me to come to this congregation, and to talk to poor sinners of their souls."

By this time other Spirit rappers in various parts of the chapel had begun to exhibit similar movements, under the great influence exerted over them by the Spirit power, and the appearance of Mrs. Stocks, who certainly appeared to be of most interesting frame of mind. No less than twenty Spirit rappers were at work, making wry faces, groaning, prayer and supplication to the Spirit, and throwing their arms and bodies to and fro like mad people.

The minister and the church officials were for a while derelict. The service was suspended, and attention solely directed to curing the people of their bewitching bodies. The excitement grew intense, and even dangerous, some of the Spiritualists got up and walked frantically, the aisles, and the place was in a complete uproar. The being great, hundreds of persons had collected around the doors of the chapel, inquiring as to the cause of the disturbance. A female in the congregation was actually carried into fits, to which she was subject, and had to be put into an adjoining house, where she was attended by a doctor afterward. The Mrs. Stocks, who began the uproar, turned out, a somewhat notorious character, and the knowledge of this fact induced a man to rebuke her for "coming to the purpose of talking to poor sinners about their souls," she was a woman of "a wicked and adulterous" life. Mrs. Stocks, however, was too busily engaged to make reply, and kept throwing her arms backward and forward, smiting the right one on her breast, in the midst of the greatest distress for the sins of the people, exclaiming, "Thou art the Judge of the living and the dead, whose bar all shall be arraigned." Others cried out, "O, Lord!" and "They will not believe, though an angel sent from heaven to convince them" (the word "angel" applied to their advocate, Mrs. Stocks).

In one part of the congregation was Mr. Cook, a witch, who threw her arms up, and walked fiercely about. Another place was a carpenter who made wry faces, sometimes were truly painful, and hideous to gaze at. A man went up to him, and called him silly, and said, "Polly down." But the infuriated Spirit rapper said, "I can't continue to hold it up as though it was still. The evening was ultimately altogether abandoned, and members at last succeeded in clearing the chapel of the Spirit-rapping friends. The event has created a sensation in the town, and it is considered that other bodies are open to the same sort of invasion, and are having their places of worship desecrated. Since Sunday Morris, the superintendent minister of the Primitive Methodist denomination in this circuit, has visited Mrs. Stocks to learn, if he could, the reasons which might have prompted and her associates to this unseemly disturbance. Mrs. Stocks simply replied, that she had only accomplished what she was to do; she was not sorry for what she had done, and the lecture of her "speech" might depend on the results of her work. We may farther add, that many of the spirits were from Tipton, others lived in Fountain street, Dudley, the remainder in a place in the vicinity called "Pon." The authorities of the Primitive Methodist Church, to understand, require a public apology, or bring them before magistrates for trial, on a charge which renders them liable to a penalty, we believe, of £10.

LIFE, DEATH, AND IMMORTALITY.

All matter, both animate and inanimate, has the life, life, death, and immortality upon it. All things live for decay, that they may be absorbed in other life. Formation is as much a law as the eternal principle causes the elements of nature to gravitate to each other, bodies which we inhabit; the atom which sustains the flower; the tree which supports the life giving vegetation; all these cherished forms must go on, we know not, save that they again will mingle with the life, they to be absorbed in other life, to again support formations of plants and of man, or are they to drop into oblivion more dense with mysteries than that from whence they came?

Is matter immortal, or is it a creature of the moment? Does the chemist look upon the elements which compose the universe with a wandering gaze, thinking that to day they are and to-morrow they are not? Or, does he see within the molecule the stamp of immortality? The flower is beauty blooms, and dies—then what? Is its essence in other flowers, or has it a Spirit to go to the angels, and unfold its beauty in the light of immortality? The birds which fill the air with their music and the heavens vocal with song, are to us of to day, tomorrow to mingle with the dust. Have they also Spirits which themselves joyfully to paradise?

The clouds which float upon the aerial ocean, are they for the eye to define their shape. Are they to

PHILOSOPHICAL AND MORAL DEPARTMENT.

SPIRIT INTERCOURSE.—No. 7.

Although we have the fact established that intelligent invisible beings manifest their presence in the production of the phenomena before mentioned, we have still to ascertain whether they are the disembodied Spirits of persons who have lived upon the earth. Here, in my apprehension, lies the real difficulty in the inquiry. Up to the point that the communications do not proceed from any person in the flesh, but from some invisible, intelligent power, we have means of investigation by which the fact can be as satisfactorily demonstrated as any other natural fact. But here we enter upon an unbroken field. Except the little light which is thrown on the subject by revelation, we have no knowledge of the contents of the vast arena of the universe, or of the nature or characteristics of the inhabitants by which the illimitable and unknown realms of space may be peopled. Hence it may be possible, for anything we know to the contrary, that there are invisible beings other than disembodied Spirits able to perform all the acts in question.

The first important fact bearing upon this question is that these beings invariably represent themselves to be disembodied Spirits. This uniformity of declaration on their part is certainly entitled to have much weight. If there were but a single instance or but few instances in which such representations had been made, there might be more room to apprehend deception; but when they have been made in so many thousand cases and under such varied circumstances the testimony given in one case is greatly strengthened by the corroborating testimony of a multitude of others.

That it is not the same intelligence communicating in every case, but different and distinct beings communicating in the various cases, is proved by the different modes of communication and the diverse matters communicated. Hence each being communicating in any one case may be regarded as a separate and distinct witness, and their uniform concurrence is one of the most certain tests of truth. It is so regarded in all ordinary transactions for good reasons, and it is not easy to perceive why it should not be so regarded in a matter such as we are considering. The communications made by these beings refer to matters as various as could be expected to be developed by an intercourse with as many different human beings, and in relation to most of them the statements and assertions are quite as diverse; yet in the one avowment that they who communicate are disembodied Spirits, they all agree. If in our ordinary intercourse with men we should find the same thing happen, that is the manifestation of a great diversity of opinion and information in relation to many things, but a universal concurrence in relation to some one fact within the knowledge of all, we should conclude that the truth of that fact was well established.

EVIDENCE OF COUNTRY.

In addition to such representations as to their general character, these intelligences also represent themselves to be individual Spirits, and very often the Spirits of deceased relatives or friends of those with whom they are communicating. In many cases they profess to give tests for the purpose of overcoming any doubts that might be entertained as to their personal identity.

If such tests should be sufficient, even in a single case, to establish, upon sufficient grounds, that the intelligent communicating is really the Spirit it represents itself to be, the fact that disembodied Spirits can and do communicate with mortals is, of course proved, and, therefore, it may not be uninteresting to inquire what kind of tests should be sufficient for that purpose.

It is asserted by some that it is impossible for a disembodied Spirit to prove its identity. But why is it impossible to do so? Is it because of its invisibility? Then how does a blind man ascertain the identity of his relatives and friends? There seems to be no good reason why the same kind of proof which is considered sufficient to establish the personal identity of living persons, under analogous circumstances, should not be deemed sufficient in this case.

Suppose you have a relative or friend who goes long upon a journey and remains a considerable length of time. Some day you hear a knock at your door and that person enters and announces his return. If you recognize him you are, of course,

convinced that he has returned. But he may have been absent so long that he has become very much changed in his appearance, so that you may no longer know his countenance, and may, at first sight, believe him to be an entire stranger. Still, upon his recalling to your recollection facts and circumstances sufficient to convince you that he is the person he claims to be, you will have no difficulty in acknowledging him to be so with as much confidence as if you had recognized him by his personal appearance. This mode of recognition is, certainly, a common one, and though it is not in the least assisted by the visible appearance of the person in question, it is usually deemed sufficiently certain. Yet the whole proof consists in the information given. If the person who gives it should be out of sight altogether it could make no difference. Neither would it be at all material whether it is given by speaking, writing, rapping or any other mode by which it could be made intelligible. If such person had become deaf and dumb during his absence, and could make himself understood by signs, it would answer precisely the same purpose.

Let us take another case. Suppose you should be sitting in your chamber and there should enter the form or appearance of one who had been well known to you but whom you knew to be deceased. You might be surprised and astounded at such an appearance. You might be disposed to question the reliability of your senses, and to suppose it was an optical delusion. But suppose the form or apparition should proceed to prove to you that it was no delusion, as by informing you of the object of its coming, making itself visible to others as well as yourself, performing some act, the effects of which should remain after it had departed. By these or by a variety of other proofs that may be readily imagined, you might be as well convinced of the actual visitation of a disembodied Spirit as of any other ordinary or extraordinary event.

The only material difference between this last case and the actual phenomena we are considering is that in the latter the communicating intelligence is invisible, and we have not the advantage of recognizing its outward resemblance to the personal appearance in the flesh of the individual whose Spirit it represents itself to be. But in this it does not differ from the case where a person writes as to the personal identity of one in the flesh, and his appearance has been so changed that it can not be recognized.

When a communication is received by letter or by telegraph from a person at a distance, the only mode of determining from whom it comes is by the examination of its contents, and if any doubt should be entertained as to its really having been sent to the person whose name is attached to it, that doubt may be removed by satisfactory answers to inquiries calculated to test its authenticity. In fact, this mode of testing personal identity solely by means of the matter communicated, when an inspection of the person cannot be had, or would be useless because of his appearance being unknown, is a common one in the ordinary transactions of life and is deemed sufficiently reliable.

Tests of this kind are constantly given through the different kinds of media, so complete and perfect in every respect that to refuse our assent to their sufficiency is scarcely possible, without manifesting a most unreasonable amount of incredulity. Whole family histories are detailed, and the most private acts and conversations that had occurred frequently in times long passed, and of which no other persons present could possibly have any knowledge, are frequently recalled to the recollection of the inquirer.

Some very striking and striking tests of this character are occasionally given through the rapping medium. The following, related to me by a friend whom I will call Mr. H., and whose statements are as reliable as those of any man who could be named, may serve as an example.

Mr. H. was born in England. He had a brother named William, a year or two older than himself, and who was his playmate in his youthful years. William died, and after his death the parents of Mr. H. removed to America, and settled in Massachusetts where they had another son born. After the death of Mr. H. he went to California, where he remained some years. Afterward, he returned to visit his parents and found they had become interested in the spiritual manifestations that had there commenced to attract attention. One evening, shortly after his return, the family were witnessing some manifestations through the younger brother, born in this

country, who was a medium. In the course of them, while in the trance state, he described a tub such as is used in some parts of England for packing butter, having a bar across the upper part or mouth, in the middle of which was inserted a hook or stopple, used in carrying water after the butter had been taken out. After describing the tub, the medium stopped as if to lift it by the stopple in the cross-bar with his teeth, and suddenly exclaimed, "Oh! my teeth are falling into the tub, see how my mouth bleeds." Some one of the family said, "What can that mean?" It means me," said Mr. H.—"I am brother, it means you," said the medium, "and I am giving this scene to convince you I am not the devil but your brother William. It is your own brother that is talking to you. Don't you remember this?" He then commenced singing a little ditty, beginning:

I know the moon and the moon knows me

That the reader may understand the effect of this it is necessary to state, that while Mr. H. and his brother William were boys together in their native town, in England the latter used sometimes to exhibit the strength of his teeth by lying with them one of the butter tubs in the master above described. On one occasion Mr. H. in a spirit of emulation, undertook to imitate this feat, but his teeth, being the first deciduous set, were not strong enough to sustain the weight, and some of them were drawn out and fell into the tub when upon his mouth bled profusely. The incident had made a strong impression upon his mind, and he recognized the song at once when it was so singularly reenacted. It had been long forgotten, if ever known, by the other members of the family. The medium as has been already stated was sixteen at the time it happened. The little song was one the two boys had been in the habit of singing together when they were children.

The above is only one of a great number of equally remarkable cases that might be mentioned. It is not to be evaded that persons receiving such tests should become firm believers in the reality of spirit intercourse. Unless they have all been subjected to some very strange and unreasonable delusion, the conclusion seems irresistible that in some of the cases at least, the communicating intelligence is the Spirit it represents itself to be.

The inability of investigators to any such delusion which can be considered.

NUDE MATERIALISM.—No. 6.

BY DR. GREGORY.

CAUSES AND PHENOMENA OF DREAMS.

The fancied reality of dreams seems to be the cause why they make sometimes such strong impressions on us as to excite the passions, till by the excessiveness of these as often happens, the organs are actually disturbed out of their position and state of laxity and rest, and thus the doors being opened for other objects with which we can compare our own we awake and wonder at our mistake; and in dreams vision are extremely pleasant or frightful, this is commonly the case.

In dreams we seldom or never have any notion or recollection that we were ever in any other state, namely, awake, but when awake we can remember our dreams in proportion to the impressions they have made. The reason seems to be this: The mind never performs any of its voluntary operations whether in actual seeing, hearing, etc., or in remembering or contemplating, without the organs. Now when we are awake the organs are in a state of tenacity but when asleep in a state of laxity. Therefore consciousness of things present or past, or remembering, depending on the organs being in the condition they are in when we are awake, the mind can not when we are asleep know and remember that we remember, awake and now dream, because the instruments it performs these operations with are either not in order or torn or already used, as in primary creation. For the instant we dream we are dreaming, we are awake, and look back on the delusion with wonder, having then fresh ideas, or rather objects immediately by the organs, to compare those of our dream with, whereby we see the delusion.

But though, for the most part, we do not, when we are dreaming, remember that we were awake yesterday, and that we did not see things, and though we are not conscious that we only dream, yet we often remember things that we are not now aware of, and which had happened in a waking state.

These powers are commonly things relating to what we are dreaming about, for when we dream of persons, places, or things, our connection with them naturally and necessarily arises in our minds from the ordinary association of ideas. For thinking and remembering in dreams are performed in the same manner as they are when we are awake. In fact, during strong and vivid dreams we believe, or fancy, ourselves to be awake, that is, we seldom have any notion or suspicion to the contrary, having all the self-consciousness and secondary consciousness of a person in that state.

But the most difficult fact to be accounted for in dreaming is that we are often conscious of remembering past things, as having happened before in reality, which, when awake, we can yet remember ever to have so happened. This most probably proceeds from what has occurred in some former dreams, which, when waked out of, we had absolutely forgotten. In the manner as some persons who have, when in a state of intoxication, said and done a great many things that when sober they could never think of nor remember: but when they were again in a similar state of intoxication they could plainly and distinctly recollect.

As has been observed in a former number, sensations and ideas are the results of states of the brain and nervous system, which states are produced by impressions from surrounding matter. It follows that whenever there is a new impression there succeeds a new state of the brain and nervous system, and consequently a new sensation or state of consciousness, resulting from that new state. In like manner, if in dreams a new impression be made, a new state is produced, and a new idea or state of consciousness is the result.

It seems probable that the mind receives the same sensation whether the nerve be stimulated in the middle or in its extremity—in like manner as a bell will produce the same sound whether the rope be pulled by the middle or by the end. If, then, during sleep, some tangible impression of the nerve acts in brain in a position according to which the mind is to receive this or that idea, it will as certainly receive it, as by the magnet's gravitation a stone will inevitably go in a straight line to the center of the earth, provided there be no obstacle in the way. A man whose leg has been amputated, frequently feels a sensation, as of pain, in the lost limb.

In dreaming we seem to exert the faculties of our mind, to will to move our bodies, and the exterior parts of the organs of the senses (as we certainly do the interior) to see, to hear, to smell, to taste, to feel, to think, to remember, to lay schemes, to be irritated by every passion, to love to fight, to be pained, to be angry, sorrowful, or joyful.

When I say that we seem to exert the faculties of the mind in dreaming, one important exception, I think, ought certainly to be made, which is—we seldom or never use our judgment in dreaming; we seldom or never compare things with each other, or ask, or wish to know, the cause of the various appearances we witness while dreaming; and yet the things, actions, or events, are almost always presented to us in dreams in the most extraordinary order, or rather disorder, without coherence, without connection; and yet we regard the singular medley, and confused dissolving views which sometimes crowd upon us in our dreams, without the least surprise, and look upon them with the utmost composure, as matters of course; a plain proof that, that our rational faculty takes no part in these visions of the night—these vagaries of the imagination.

From all the foregoing facts and illustrations, it seems pretty evident that thinking and dreaming are very nearly allied species, and that the postures, frames, and dispositions of the organs with respect to their internal mechanism are the same as both, as when we actually see, hear, taste, or work, or say things when we are wide awake. Do we not sometimes rub our hands clenched, as if grasping something, and sometimes with the whole organismal system in various other positions, the charm or enchantment often continuing some short time before it quite dissolves.

When taking a review of the various phenomena attending both secondary sensation and dreaming, and drawing a comparison between the two states, it would appear that the consciousness in dreaming is more vivid than in secondary sensation, and consequently, it may be regarded (as far as organic action is concerned) as an intermediate state between that of primary and secondary sensation. It approaches up near to

the vivacity of primary sensation that it is almost always mistaken for that state, which mistake never occurs in secondary sensation, except in cases of somnambulism.

In thinking or in a reverie, we may imagine the sound of music and scenes; we may intensely ponder on different favors or odors, but we never really and actually experience anything precisely amounting to a primary sensation. In ordinary dreaming, we never afterward recollect hearing an actual sound, or tasting or smelling an actual flavor or odor. In some remarkable cases, we have dreams in which we actually hear music and scenes; but in these latter cases we have always discovered, on awaking, that there has been music or scenes (as the case may be) in the immediate neighborhood.

We must, therefore, always bear in mind, that the consciousness of a sensation is not the same thing as the primary sensation. When I recall to mind what I have said, and I do not suffer the same illusion as when I actually suffer. The same may be said when we remember having tasted, smelled, heard, or seen. We may apply the same remark to dreams (with the exception before alluded to).

This being the case, it is not correct to say, as is generally said, that we actually see, hear, and so on, in our dreams, because it is obviously the fact that we do not. Our nervous system is excited upon, and put into those motions and states which give rise to the ideas of seeing, hearing, etc. We imagine we see light and objects, or have an idea of seeing them. We imagine we hear, or have an idea that we hear.

In like manner we may imagine (when awake) that we are in such a house, or in such a garden, at a distance; and though we may shut our eyes we can, in idea, transport ourselves to those distant scenes, and have a clear idea of the windows in the house, and the light coming in, not of the sky, and the clouds, and the bright sunshine—and so in like manner of all the other senses.

Thus we see that memory, association, and dreaming all consist in merely feeling the remembrance of past sensations, and that in the forms of our ideas in every different mode, the same instruments are called into operation. Inwardly adjusted in the same manner as are used in the production of primary sensation.

Does the mind change? Does the mind decay? Does the mind act on the body? These questions will be considered in our next number.

REVIEWED

Mr. Horton. Your remarks preliminary to the communication of "Virgil" are quite to the point, and satisfactory. But as his proofs are drawn from Biblical authority to support his position, with your permission I will suggest to him some proofs he has overlooked in the same authority.

If the Bible is to be taken at all it is to be taken for what it says and not the construction put upon it by critics, systems or creeds; and although the sayings appear contradictory at times, it will not do to reason out this apparent contradiction. First, the record says, 1st Samuel 16 and 24. "But the Spirit of the Lord departed from Saul, and an evil spirit from the Lord troubled him" (see verse 23); also chapters 18 and 19 and 20 and 21, etc. It appears from these passages that if the evil communicate, and not the good, they both are from the same original source—God. Secondly, although it appears the law was against some of the practices by which future events were foretold, yet it is known that others which existed were allowed by the law and were in common usage among the people.

The Urim and Thummim were the instruments of divine will by the priesthood, and were the instrumentalities through which the future was made known by the priests to the people, and this position was lawful. Prophets also were raised up, on whom they should rely, rather than on those who were to the law; but the absolute reliance that could be placed in them could not be known until the events came to pass of which they spoke. This method of ascertaining the truth is by the express direction of the Lord.

It seems apparent to be a method allowed by the scriptures by which the Lord would reveal God's will and direction. Saul was troubled by the Lord by dreams, prophecies and Urim, and the Lord answered him not. God appeared to Solomon in a dream and left him in choice what to ask of him, 1 Kings 3d, and

Again, "I will go forth and I will be a young spirit in the mouth of all his prophets," etc., 1 Kings 12 and 13 and 22. "I, the Lord, have dreamed that prophet," Ezek. 14:9. The evidences here named and many others not named show that if evil spirits communicate, and not good ones, their origin and source is in and of God, from the same authority. Then if he be the author of evil spirits, he is in all hands allowed to be the author of good spirits.

"Virgil," under the head of "Enchanted," seems to give a definition of the word that the oracle will not allow. The role of the evil ones and sorcerers (and the magicians) thrown down becomes anyone, as well as the role of Moses and Aaron. This is verse 2d applied to Aaron's rod is called a "miracle." The definition of "Wizard" by Webster, is "a conjurer or enchanter, a sorcerer," and not a medium for evil departed spirits.

As we have traced the origin of evil spirits to the great first cause, and the Bible is supposed to be the word of God, written by inspiration (good spirits), how shall we reconcile this apparent contradiction? If good spirits can not communicate with mortals how were all the prophets of various days inspired to speak what the Lord commanded? Is not every page of the old and new test filled with spirit-communication? every verse replete with the commands, will and direction from God to his creatures? Were not the things that John saw, and described in the Revelations, shown him by an angel who was a "fellow servant" of his, of his "brethren," the prophets? Is not this the rule and practice of all Christians, to receive and believe that the Bible is the word of God, written by men under the influence of the Holy Ghost or Spirit? and if men ever was blessed with such influence, is it proper to say he has left us without these influences to-day? J. C.

LECTURES AT WHITEWATER, WIS.

Whitewater, Wis., Feb. 27, 1899

Editor of Spiritual Telegraph.

Gentlemen—Having had the pleasure of listening to a course of lectures delivered by Miss Belle Burroughs, of Rockford, Ill., in this place, I thought a few words in regard to the same would be appropriate, if for no other purpose than informing the friends of our glorious cause, in other places, that her discourses are just what they want for their own elevation, and for their skeptical friends, who need something of this sort in order to be started on the right track.

She is very unassuming and pleasant. The happy atmosphere surrounding her causes joy to any one coming in contact therewith. She delivered three discourses in this place. The subject of the first one was, "Evidences," that of the second was selected by the audience. "The Revelations" being chosen, and not considered one on which a vast amount of thought might be presented, a second one was selected, viz., "Philosophy of Revelations." Her evening effort, or rather that of the influence controlling her, was the most distinctive, when the Spirit proposed "Revelation" as the subject. It was handled in a perfect, clear and pointed, uttered in a beautiful and soul-stirring language that did not fail to touch our innermost feelings.

At the conclusion of each lecture she presented the audience to proposed questions. Compelling and timely ones were presented, both by long faced, rigid orthodoxy and credulous ones of the Boston Investigator stamp, all of which were replied to in a manner satisfactory to everybody.

The interest which her labor has aroused I trust will be productive of much good, and may the blessings she spreads about return manifold to her life here and hereafter. She is destined to take rank among our first women-speakers, for no doubt the truths brought forth through her organization will cause her to be sought for eagerly as soon as the friends know her and the value of her discourses.

Charles Hart was with us not long ago. He is a man of no ordinary power. He, as well as Miss B., possessed spiritual food in the hungry which was as dry as Egypt, by all who were not prejudiced by prejudice to hinder it.

I would conclude by remarking that any one seeking good and efficient laborers for the advancement of our cause can not do any better than to suggest the services of the above mentioned medium.

A. A. COOPER.



CHARLES PARTRIDGE
Editor and Proprietor

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 19, 1859.

REV. E. H. CHAPIN ON SHAMEFUL PHASES OF HUMAN LIFE.

Mr. Chapin, by request, repeated his late remarkable lecture entitled as above, on Sunday evening, March 13, to an overflowing house, and it was estimated that half as many persons went away unable to obtain a standing place, as got into the church, which pretty clearly shows that the contemplated movement of their meeting-house up town, and to build much larger accommodations, is demanded.

Mr. Chapin took for the basis of his discourse the record of John, chapter 8, 10 and 11 verse.

When Jesus had thus spoken, He said to the woman, he said to her, "Woman, where art thou? Hadst thou no man?" She said, "No, Lord. And Jesus said unto her, 'Neither dost thou, nor I, nor any man here.'"

Here, said he, is the record of Christ coming in contact with a shameful phase of human life, and his treatment of it; also with men convicted by their own consciences. It is impossible to report Mr. Chapin's lecture, and therefore we will only make a few remarks on the subject, hoping some time to give the entire discourse in the columns. Notwithstanding the subject is a delicate one, yet it must be known in order to be judiciously treated. Mr. Chapin spoke chiefly of the evils of licentiousness.

It is well known that the evils of licentiousness are prevalent to a melancholy extent, and have been growing upon us for centuries. It is equally well known that the remedy has baffled the skill of all time, and that at present the virtuous scarcely dare speak of it, but walk in silent and melancholy meditation, with their hands on their hearts, through our streets which glitter, day and night, with diseased debauchery. Some of our would-be saviors who attempt to give tone to public sentiment, would fain have their hearers and readers believe they discharge their duty by charging all, or a great share, of this growing evil, on modern Spiritualism, when it is well known that licentiousness has grown up under the auspices of the old Church and State, and has long since put them at defiance. The charge only shows the twin brother of the licentiousness of which we speak, namely, the habit of shirking responsibility, and laying it upon the innocent. "Then art the man," is the doctrine which would do much to remedy the evil.

Under the old dynasty, woman bore all the public odium of the sin of licentiousness. She has been discarded by the virtuous, while the man who is equally or more guilty has been her accuser, and has been suffered to boast of it in the congregations of his fellows. If there is any crime more base than another, it is this, and yet there are numerous men of this character who are excused of their crime, and allowed to flourish in what is called respectable society. We think the sin is mutual, and we agree with Mr. Chapin, that if the licentious men could be held equally guilty, and be branded as such with their crime, so that they might be known, it would be a salutary remedy for much of the evil.

The question arises, whether it is best to say anything about it. Indeed the sin is so prevalent, that few dare say anything about it. And the hope of remedying the evil is almost given up, and men begin to talk of the propriety of licensing it. Ought it to be spoken of, or shall it be suffered to overrule and crush out all virtue? We think it demands a temperate and scientific treatment. The evil must be known to be cured, and we question that false modesty which rests in silence while being deep-seated of its virtue. There are many causes and remedies for this evil, but we must defer their consideration to

a future but early occasion. Mr. Chapin has done a noble work in setting this sin clearly before his immense congregation, and we hope others who occupy similar positions will do like work.

We intend to report the live thought of live men, and especially their progressive utterances of Chapin and Beecher. Although they are not Spiritualists in the technical sense, yet the modern spiritual philosophy embraced in their remarks is the fulcrum by which they are overturning the world, and we are willing, if needs be, to stand by the "chucks" to preserve every upheaving. At any rate, we are not disposed to discard their helps, even if they do not accept our formula.

MR. LONGFELLOW AT DODWORTH'S.

Rev. Samuel Longfellow, of Brooklyn, preached to the Spiritualists, not "in prison," but in Dodworth's Academy, Sunday morning and evening, March 13. His morning discourse was based on the words of Paul to the Corinthians, recorded in the second chapter and fourteenth verse: "But

"But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God, for they are foolishness unto him; neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned."

The reverend preacher seemed to entertain much the same idea of Spirit, soul, and mind, as those uttered through Mrs. Hatch, a report of which may be seen in another place. We understood him to say, substantially, that the universe was in the same relation with God that the human body is with the Spirit which animates it; that the soul of man was the form of the Spirit's manifestation; that it is the body of the Spirit; that there were great spiritual realms, and that the Spirits on the same plane would substantially utter the same thoughts; but that there were diversified planes in the Spirit-realm, as there were on the natural plane, and therefore no Spirit would be circumscribed, but would naturally gravitate to that plane with which he was most in sympathy. He agreed substantially with Paul, that man possesses a two-fold nature—spiritual and material; or, in other words, had a spiritual and a sensuous side; that between these two was the soul or power of manifestation, and from this middle ground or elemental state of being, occurred the mesmerism, clairvoyant, and spiritual phenomena; that by this intermediate quality of our being, we are mostly allied to the animal kingdom; that the senses can not apprehend the Spirit, but the spiritual side only can fraternize with Spirits and spiritual things.

The preacher said that God spoke to matter in all departments of nature; in the crystalline, vegetable, animal, human, and spiritual departments; that every manifestation was his word to matter. But he maintained that only the spiritual, or the God in man, apprehended his words. To all other beings and things, his word was a mere molding of unconscious matter. The word was most clearly manifested when man, in his sensuous soul and spiritual nature, was undecided as to justice, righteousness, and truth, and it comes in to decide the difficulty.

He maintained that there was, not, and could not be, any infallibility for man, and recommended the search for such infallibility to be forever abandoned. He said if it were possible for God to express himself absolutely and infallibly to man, in word, writing or book, then it would require that man to be infallible in order to receive it in its purity. That book, the Bible, which is considered the most pure, contradicts itself in many important particulars, and thus man is ever thrown back on himself as the judge of righteousness and truth. He thought we might receive many things in the Bible as authoritative to us, especially if the teaching transcended our present lives.

We are sorry to make so short and imperfect a report of the reverend gentleman's discourse. We solicited his manuscript, to publish in full, but he did not acquiesce, because he contemplated publishing it, with other discourses, at a future time. We were delighted with the discourse; it was quite metaphysical, but full of the fundamentals of our spiritual philosophy. We are gratified to listen to these new ideas from the settled clergy. They show, we think, an independence and earnestness for truth which overtops that sectarianism which has circumscribed thought and expression hitherto. We believe the Church is now more ready for the freest, deepest thought of their clergy, than the latter generally think. They need but to speak out boldly, and they may be assured they will be heard gladly.

NEW PUBLICATION.

First Union in the Christian Kingdom. Contents: Faith in the Church, by J. C. Catbourn; Apostles' Creed Expounded, by J. H. Hymie and J. H. Hymie. By T. L. Harris. New York: The Christian Publishing Association, 110 Broadway Street. pp. 17, 18, 19.

As we may say of all others of Mr. Harris' mediatorial productions, so we may say of this, that it may be perused and studied with profit by those who can take advantage of its occasional good, important and practical suggestion, but we have the good cause to avoid being misled by assertions and propositions which have no apparent or conceivable foundation aside from the poetic fancies or spiritual communings of their author. The book is in the form of questions and answers, and thus adapted to the catechetical training of the mind of youth and others prospectively to be brought into the "New Church." It commences with the following questions and answers respecting the nature and constitution of the New Church:

1. *Ques.* What is the New Church?
- Ans.* The New Church is the body of Christ, including within itself the good of every sect and persuasion throughout the world, including none.
2. *Ques.* Whom does it embrace in its visible form?
- Ans.* In its visible form it embraces all who confess that Jesus is the Lord, receive the Holy Scriptures as containing His divine Word, and accept the doctrine of Regeneration, through obedience to His commandments, and in the use of a godly and self-denying life.

It will be understood by this, that the New Church embraces "in its visible form," Greek Catholics, Roman Catholics, Episcopalians, Presbyterians, Methodists, Baptists, Lutherans, Russians, Quakers, and numerous other independent parties professing Christianity, because the consistent members of each, and all of these "confess that Jesus is the Lord, receive the Holy Scriptures as containing his divine Word, and accept the doctrine of regeneration, through obedience to its commandments in the use of a godly and self-denying life." This definition may suit some minds, and we are entirely willing that they should be satisfied with it; but it strikes us as altogether too nebulous to be of much practical value. Each of the various organized religious parties that are in the world, excluding their bad members, may be a church, and, for aught we know to the contrary, some one among them may be the church "in its visible form;" but it strikes us that it is hardly to be said that all of them, however consistent their members may individually be in the observance of the above-trinal and practical requisites, can, together, be properly called a "visible church," because, taken together, they have no visible form, no ecclesiastical compact, no formally or visibly recognized laws of intercommunication, fellowship or cooperation, but on the contrary are, for the most part, deeply opposed to each other as so many separate "visible forms." If it were said that the *invisible* church of Christ is composed of the good and true of all parties, on earth and in the Spirit world, we could have no objection, because all such have our fellowship and mutual unity; but we have the implied testimony of the apostle Paul that "Christ is not divided," and therefore wherever we find divisions and antagonisms among men, whether as to interior principles or outer forms, those divided bodies, collectively viewed, can not be considered the church or the *one* body of Christ. We might as well say that the visible form of sheep embraces wolf, ox, bear, tiger, catamount, and all creatures that breathe the same air, drink the same water, and consistently live a life according to the promptings of the same five senses. If the good, true and consistent of all denominations could, under the promptings of the interior spirit of Christianity, visibly unite and form themselves into an *eternal* organization, with laws of intercommunication and cooperation for the purpose of working, in common, for the cause of Christ, then that Body might be called the *visible* church, but not without. And that Body might also be called, in some sense, the *new* church, though Mr. Harris, in his definition, does not seem to have drawn any very clear distinction between the new and old, or any other church.

About this matter of a visible church, there seems to be not a little vagueness in the thoughts of some minds, perhaps including our own, and we have written the above a *fourth* thought upon the subject, hoping thereby to provoke some better definition if ours should be pronounced incorrect or defective.

Mr. H. then goes on to say, in substance, that the New Church, in its visible form, is divided into three degrees, the natural, spiritual and celestial, these degrees being severally

SECRET

You are not selfish, kind or true
 You love your the common good
 With generosity and no selfish
 You are kind to others and good
 With genuine warm affection.
 You are the light of the world,
 And help the sad and distressed people -
 Give us your love, your faith, your trust
 I love you so much.

There is great and we are as well, there
 We have the country in our hands
 You tell me, I am not a man,
 And you tell me, I am not a man,
 I am not a man, I am not a man,
 And you tell me, I am not a man,
 And you tell me, I am not a man,
 And you tell me, I am not a man,
 And you tell me, I am not a man,

And what can I say that you do
 know was I your daughter or your
 What signifies that journey?
 I was an orphan and alone,
 To seek the world that made the spring,
 To seek the green that makes the song
 I gave you love and gave you pain
 You're welcome now that all other
 Give me your hand - you don't you -

[illegible]

...the fact that the ...
...of the ...
...the ...

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12-15-2001 BY 60322 UCBAW

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11-19-2008 BY 60322 UCBAW

[illegible]

THE

[illegible]

Incident.—The Directors of the bank are expected to meet with the shareholders at the latter part of the year to arrange for the year's business, and it is expected that the directors will be able to report a successful year's work.

London. — Austria is strongly opposing the idea of a Balkan pact. The emperor of Vienna was fully informed of the situation at the end of the war. There was great activity in the Balkans, where troops and many soldiers were stationed. The Austrians have been placed in the hands of the war. The Austrians have been placed in the hands of the war. The Austrians have been placed in the hands of the war.

PAYMENT.—The Prussian Government has issued a circular directing the post office of England and France to reserve postage until the signing of the convention and facilities will pass at the conclusion of the armistice cessation of the hostilities. It declares that while suspending the action of a five-year period, the war nevertheless shall continue as a matter of the present condition.

[illegible]

ALONG IN THE LINE HAVE BEEN MADE AS POINTED OUT BY THE IN THE
REPORT MADE UP BY THE BOARD OF THE IN THE LINE. THE BOARD
IN THE LINE, HOWEVER, AS THE LINE HAVE BEEN MADE, THE BOARD
IN THE LINE, HOWEVER, AS THE LINE HAVE BEEN MADE, THE BOARD

Henry Wadsworth Longfellow, 1807-1882, was the first American poet to gain a reputation as a writer of English verse. He was the first American to be elected a member of the Academy of Arts and Letters.

Reported off date for this report is 1964-01-01. The report was prepared by the U.S. Army, Research Office of Chemical Defense, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. The report was prepared for the U.S. Army, Research Office of Chemical Defense, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. The report was prepared for the U.S. Army, Research Office of Chemical Defense, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

1. The first step in the process is to identify the problem or issue that needs to be addressed. This involves gathering information and understanding the context of the problem.

THESE OFFERS ARE SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

SECRET

[illegible]

1. 凡在本行開辦之各項業務，均應遵守本行所訂之各項規章，並應隨時注意本行所訂之各項規章，如有違反，本行將依法究辦。

THE NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY
ASTOR LENOX TILDEN FOUNDATION
500 5TH AVENUE
NEW YORK 17, N.Y.

WHOLESALE PRICE CURRENT OF PRODUCE & MERCHANDISE

<p>Almonds - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, sweet, both 6 70 Do, bitter 6 70</p> <p>Bread - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00</p> <p>Butter - Duty 1 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 21 00</p> <p>Candles - Duty 10 per cent</p> <p>Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00</p> <p>Cocoa - Duty 1 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 11 00 Do, all 11 00 Do, all 11 00</p> <p>Coffee - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00</p> <p>Flax - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 10 00</p> <p>Fruit - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 10 00</p> <p>Flour - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00</p> <p>Grain - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hay - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hemp - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hides - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Iron - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Leather - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Lime - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Molasses - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Nails - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Oils - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Provisions - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Rice - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Salt - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Seeds - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Sugar - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Tallow - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Tea - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Wool - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p>	<p>Almonds - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, sweet, both 6 70 Do, bitter 6 70</p> <p>Bread - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00 Do, Navy 4 00</p> <p>Butter - Duty 1 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 21 00</p> <p>Candles - Duty 10 per cent</p> <p>Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00 Do, all 42 00</p> <p>Cocoa - Duty 1 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 11 00 Do, all 11 00 Do, all 11 00</p> <p>Coffee - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00 Do, all 14 00</p> <p>Flax - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 10 00</p> <p>Fruit - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 10 00</p> <p>Flour - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00 Do, all 6 00</p> <p>Grain - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00 Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hay - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hemp - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Hides - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Iron - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Leather - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Lime - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Molasses - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Nails - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Oils - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Provisions - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Rice - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Salt - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Seeds - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Sugar - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Tallow - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Tea - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p> <p>Wool - Duty 10 per cent ad val</p> <p>Do, all 1 00</p>
---	---

